arXiv:1611.03396v1 [math.OA] 10 Nov 2016

On a Spectral Theorem of Weyl

Nigel Higson and Qijun Tan

Abstract

We give a geometric proof of a theorem of Weyl on the continuous part of the spectrum of Sturm-Liouville operators on the half-line with asymptotically constant coefficients. Earlier proofs due to Weyl and Kodaira depend on special features of Green's functions for linear ordinary differential operators; ours might offer better prospects for generalization to higher dimensions, as required for example in non-commutative harmonic analysis.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to present a new approach to an old theorem of Hermann Weyl on the spectral theory of self-adjoint Sturm-Liouville operators on a half-line. Our aim is to invoke methods that are geometric in spirit, and more amenable to generalization, for instance to Plancherel formulas for spherical functions (this is an area that is closely related to Weyls work). We shall however stay fairly close to Weyl's original context in this article.

Sturm-Liouville theory is of course concerned with the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of linear differential operators

$$D = -\frac{d}{dx} \cdot p(x) \cdot \frac{d}{dx} + q(x), \tag{1.1}$$

initially on a closed interval $[a, b]$. Assume for simplicity that $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are smooth, real-valued functions on $[a, b]$, with $p(x)$ positive everywhere. In examining the solutions of the eigenvalue problem

$$Df_\lambda = \lambda f_\lambda, \tag{1.2}$$

it is conventional to impose boundary conditions, and the most obvious choice is

$$f_\lambda(a) = 0 = f_\lambda(b). \tag{1.3}$$

The elements of Sturm-Liouville theory can then be summarized as follows:[1]

1.1 Theorem. *The eigenvalues λ for the above problem are real numbers, and each has multiplicity one. The set of all eigenvalues is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}$, bounded below, and if h is any smooth function on $[a, b]$, then*

$$h(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\langle f_\lambda, h\rangle}{\langle f_\lambda, f_\lambda\rangle} f_\lambda(x)$$

(*where* $\langle f, h\rangle = \int \overline{f(x)} h(x)\, dx$.)

In an influential paper [Wey10] from early in his career, Weyl developed an analogous theory for Sturm-Liouville operators on $[0, \infty)$. Weyl's paper addressed many issues, but our concern here is his treatment of the continuous spectrum of a Sturm-Liouville operator, and especially his version for the continuous spectrum of the expansion theorem above, which we shall now describe.

Weyl assumes that the coefficient functions $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ in (1.1) converge sufficiently rapidly to the constants 1 and 0, respectively, as x tends to infinity. For the purposes of this introduction, let us assume even more, namely that

$$p(x) \equiv 1 \quad \text{and} \quad q(x) \equiv 0 \qquad \text{if } x \gg 0 \tag{1.4}$$

(this assumption is too strong to be interesting in applications, but it allows us to quickly introduce Weyl's ideas). For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ there is a one-dimensional space of eigenfunctions[2] F_λ for D that satisfy the boundary condition

$$F_\lambda(0) = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

If we focus on the case where $\lambda > 0$, and if we choose, as we may, F_λ to be nonzero and real-valued, then our assumptions on the coefficient functions $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ imply that

$$F_\lambda(x) = c(\lambda) e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\, x} + \overline{c(\lambda)} e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda}\, x} \qquad \text{if } x \gg 0, \tag{1.6}$$

for some nonzero $c(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$. We can now formulate Weyl's result, at least in the simplified context of (1.4) that we are currently discussing.

[1] For a precise formulation of the theorem and a thorough account of its proof, see for example [DS88, Chapter XIII], especially Theorem 3 in Section 4.

[2] We write F_λ rather than f_λ as a reminder that the eigenfunction need not be square-integrable in this context; in fact it is better to view it as a distribution.

1.2 Theorem. *If* h *is a smooth, compactly supported function on* $[0,\infty)$*, then*[3]

$$h(x) = \sum_{\lambda<0} \frac{\langle F_\lambda, h\rangle}{\langle F_\lambda, F_\lambda\rangle} F_\lambda(x) + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{\langle F_\lambda, h\rangle}{|c(\lambda)|^2}\, F_\lambda(x) \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

The first sum is over the square-integrable *eigenfunctions associated to negative eigenvalues that satisfy the boundary condition* (1.5)*, and there are finitely many of these.*

We shall approach Weyl's theorem by comparing the Sturm-Liouville operator D to the simpler operator

$$D_0 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$

on $(-\infty,\infty)$. Our argument is roughly as follows. General theory guarantees an integral decomposition

$$h(x) = \int \langle F_\lambda, h\rangle\, F_\lambda(x) d\mu(\lambda) \tag{1.7}$$

for some measure on the spectrum of D. If h is supported sufficiently far away from $0 \in [0,\infty)$, then, in view of (1.6), the integral in (1.7) can be viewed as a sort of approximate decomposition of h over the spectrum of D_0. It is not exact because (1.7) involves the negative spectrum of D, which has no counterpart for D_0, and more crucially because it involves only some, but not all the eigenfunctions on D_0 (there are two linearly independent eigenfunctions of D_0 for every $\lambda > 0$, but only one specific linear combination contributes to the integral). However we can put together the integral formulas in (1.7) for all the forward translates of h along the line by averaging, and then invoke the translation-invariance of D_0 to obtain an *exact* formula for the spectral decomposition of D_0 in terms of the mueasure μ. Finally, we can invert this formula to describe the (positive part of the) spectral theory of D in terms of the spectral theory of D_0, which is of course known, and from this we shall recover Weyl's formula.

We shall give an abstract account of our approach in Section 3, where the main results are Theorems 3.3 and 3.5. Our reason for doing this is that other interesting instances of the abstract framework arise in harmonic

[3]We won't discuss in this introduction the nature of the convergence in the eigenfunction expansion, but see for example [Ban08] or [EK08], as well as the original source, of course, for more details. We shall make precise statements in the language of spectral theory later in the paper.

analysis, as we shall note in Section 3 (however we shall postpone until a future paper a detailed treatment of these examples). We shall apply our method to the continuous spectrum of Sturm-Liouville operators in Section 4, and we shall address the discrete part of the spectrum of D in Section 5. But prior to all of that we shall quickly review the standard approach in Section 2 for the sake of comparison.

This work grew in part out of a project in noncommutative geometry [CCH16, CH16], which led us to try to understand more about the Plancherel formula, and hence Weyl's theorem. Remarking on Weyl's influence on representation theory, Borel [Bor01, p.38] writes that

> "It was the reading of [Weyl's 1910 paper] which suggested to Harish-Chandra that the measure should be the inverse of the square modulus of a function in λ describing the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenfunctions ... and I remember well from seminar lectures and conversations that he never lost sight of that principle, which is confirmed by his results in the general case."

See also [Ban08]. In the end Harish-Chandra developed a different approach to the Plancherel formula, and did not call on Weyl's theorem directly. But we believe that the approach to Weyl's theorem presented here will offer good prospects for an alternative approach to some of Harish-Chandra's results.

2 Review of Kodaira's Approach

In this section we shall very briefly review the approach to Theorem 1.2 developed by Weyl [Wey10] and then substantially improved by Kodaira [Kod49]; see also [Wey50]. For brevity we shall continue to consider only the simplest possible case of a Sturm-Liouville operator D on $[0, \infty)$ whose coefficients are eventually constant, as in (1.4).

We shall take it for granted that D defines an essentially self-adjoint operator on $L^2(0, \infty)$, with initial domain the smooth, compactly supported functions on $[0, \infty)$ that vanish at 0, as in (1.5). We shall concentrate here on the *positive* part of the spectrum of D and hence the integral expression in Theorem 1.2.

Of interest to us, therefore, are the spectral projections $P_{[\alpha,\beta]}$ for D associated to closed intervals $[\alpha, \beta]$ in the *positive* real numbers. Following Kodaira we shall prove the following version of Weyl's theorem:

2.1 Theorem. *If $\beta > \alpha > 0$, then spectral projection $P_{[\alpha,\beta]}$ for D is given by the formula*

$$(P_{[\alpha,\beta]}h)(x) = \int_0^\infty p_{[\alpha,\beta]}(x,y)h(y)\,dy,$$

where

$$p_{[\alpha,\beta]}(x,y) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_\alpha^\beta F_\lambda(x)F_\lambda(y)\frac{1}{|c(\lambda)|^2}\,\frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}}. \tag{2.1}$$

To begin, assume that D is *any* self-adjoint Hilbert space operator. If α and β are any real numbers (not necessarily positive, at this stage) that are not in the spectrum of D, then according to the Riesz functional calculus,

$$P_{[\alpha,\beta]} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma (\nu - D)^{-1}\,d\nu. \tag{2.2}$$

where the contour Γ is indicated in the figure.



The contributions to the integral (2.2) from the vertical components of the contour Γ decrease to zero as the height of the contour decreases to zero, and so

$$P_{[\alpha,\beta]} = \lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(\int_{\alpha-i\varepsilon}^{\beta-i\varepsilon}(\nu - D)^{-1}\,d\nu - \int_{\alpha+i\varepsilon}^{\beta+i\varepsilon}(\nu - D)^{-1}\,d\nu\right), \tag{2.3}$$

or equivalently

$$P_{[\alpha,\beta]}(D) = \lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\alpha^\beta (D - \lambda - i\varepsilon)^{-1} - (D - \lambda + i\varepsilon)^{-1}\,d\lambda. \tag{2.4}$$

The integral on the right-hand side of (2.4) defines, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, an operator of norm no more than 1. So, by approximating more general self-adjoint operators D by operators that do not contain α or β in their spectrum, we find that

2.2 Lemma (Kodaira)**.** *The formula* (2.4) *holds for* any *self-adjoint operator* D *and any interval* $[\alpha, \beta]$*, as long as as* α *and* β *do not belong to the point spectrum of* D*.* □

The value of the Kodaira formula[4] is that when D is a Sturm-Liouville operator, the resolvent operators, particularly in the combination they appear in (2.4), may be computed quite explicitly. First of all, if $\nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, then we may write

$$(D - \nu)^{-1} h = \int_0^\infty k_\nu(x, y) h(y)\, dy$$

The kernel $k_\nu(x, y)$ has the following properties:

(a) k_ν is continuous on $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$, smooth away from the diagonal, and converges to zero as $x \to \infty$ or $y \to \infty$.

(b) $k_\nu(x, y) = 0$ when $x = 0$ or $y = 0$.

(c) $k_\nu(y, x) = k_\nu(x, y)$.

(d) $(D - \nu) k_\nu(_, y) = \delta_y$

Now let F_ν be a ν-eigenfunction for D that vanishes at 0, and let G_ν be a ν-eigenfunction that vanishes at infinity. It follows rather easily from the above list of properties that

$$k_\nu(x, y) = \frac{1}{w(\nu)} F_\nu(x) G_\nu(y) \qquad \text{when } x < y,$$

for some constant $w(\lambda) \neq 0$ (independent of x and y). Using (d) one computes that

$$w(\nu) = -w_x(F_\nu, G_\nu) = -p(x) \Big(F_\nu(x) G_\nu'(x) - F_\nu'(x) G_\nu(x) \Big) \tag{2.5}$$

(this is independent of x). This an application of the relation

$$\int_a^b (Dg)(y) h(y)\, dy - \int_a^b g(y) (Dh)(y)\, dy = w_a(g, h) - w_b(g, h) \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$w_x(g, h) = p(x) \big(g(x) h'(x) - g'(x) h(x) \big). \tag{2.7}$$

[4]The same formula was obtained in a slightly different context by Titchmarsh [Tit46], and the term "Kodaira-Titchmarsh formula" is therefore often used.

To return to Kodaira's formula, we find that the integrand in (2.4) is represented by the integral kernel

$$w(\lambda + i\varepsilon)^{-1} F_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}(x) G_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}(y) - w(\lambda - i\varepsilon)^{-1} F_{\lambda-i\varepsilon}(x) G_{\lambda-i\varepsilon}(y) \tag{2.8}$$

when $x < y$. At this point we finally use the fundamental assumption (1.4) on the coefficients of D. First, $G_\nu(y)$ is a constant times $e^{i\sqrt{\nu}y}$ where the square root with *positive* imaginary part is chosen to ensure G_ν vanishes at infinity. Keeping this and (2.5) in mind, we compute the limit of (2.8) as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ to be

$$\frac{-1}{2i\sqrt{\lambda}|c(\lambda)|^2} F_\lambda(x) F_\lambda(y).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{[\alpha,\beta]}(D) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{-1}{2i\sqrt{\lambda}|c(\lambda)|^2} F_\lambda(x) F_\lambda(y)\, d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\alpha^\beta F_\lambda(x) F_\lambda(y) \frac{1}{|c(\lambda)|^2} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

as required.

3 Asymptotically Related Representations

In this section we shall describe our alternative method of computing the continuous part of the spectral measure in Weyl's theorem. Like Kodaira we shall make free use of techniques from the spectral theory of abstract self-adjoint operators, but we aim to combine spectral theory with some simple geometric ideas, rather than with information about Green's functions. We shall formulate the method in general terms, adding various assumptions as we go along. We shall check these assumptions in the case of Sturm-Liouville operators in Section 4.

Let C be a separable, commutative C^*-algebra with Gelfand spectrum Λ, so that of course

$$C \cong C_0(\Lambda).$$

We shall view elements of C as continuous functions on Λ without further comment.

Let us suppose that we are given a non-degenerate representation of C on a separable Hilbert space,

$$\pi\colon C \longrightarrow B(H).$$

Associated to π there is a direct integral decomposition

$$H \cong \int_\Lambda^\oplus H_\lambda \, d\mu(\lambda). \tag{3.1}$$

This means that there exists:

(i) a Borel-measurable field of Hilbert spaces, $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$, as in [Dix81, Part II, Chapter 1],

(ii) a measure μ on the Borel subsets of the second countable, locally compact and Hausdorff space Λ that is finite on the compact subsets of Λ, and

(iii) a unitary isomorphism

$$H \ni g \longmapsto \left[\lambda \mapsto g_\lambda\right] \in L^2\left(\Lambda, \{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}, d\mu\right),$$

from H to the Hilbert space of square-integrable sections of the measurable field, under which the representation π corresponds to the representation of $C_0(\Lambda)$ on square-integrable sections by pointwise multiplication. Thus if $g \in H$ and $\varphi \in C$, then

$$(\pi(\varphi)g)_\lambda = \varphi(\lambda)g_\lambda$$

for almost all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with respect to the measure μ.

See [Dix81, Part II, Chapter 6, Theorem 2].

Our aim is to compute the measure μ above, at least on an open subset of the locally compact space Λ, by comparing the representation π to a second representation

$$\pi_0 \colon C \longrightarrow B(H_0)$$

that will in practice be easier to analyze. We shall start by assuming the following:

(A) The Hilbert space H_0 carries a continuous, one-parameter group of unitary operators

$$U_t \colon H_0 \longrightarrow H_0$$

that commute with the operators in the representation π_0. There is a bounded operator

$$W \colon H_0 \longrightarrow H$$

with the property that if we define

$$W_t = W U_t \colon H_0 \longrightarrow H,$$

then

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \Big[\big\langle W_t g, \pi(\varphi) W_t h \big\rangle_H - \big\langle U_t g, \pi_0(\varphi) U_t h \big\rangle_{H_0} \Big] = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for all $\varphi \in C$, and all $g, h \in H_0$.

3.1 Example. Our aim in this paper is to study Weyl's Sturm-Liouville theorem, and for this purpose we shall take the operator

$$W \colon H_0 \longrightarrow H$$

to be the orthogonal projection from $L^2(-\infty,\infty)$ onto $L^2(0,\infty)$, while the C^*-algebra C will be $C_0(\mathbb{R})$, acting on H and H_0 via the functional calculus for the operators D and D_0 discussed in the introduction. But other interesting examples arise in the context of Harish-Chandra's Plancherel formula for spherical functions, as follows.

Let $G = KAN$ be an Iwasawa decomposition of a real reductive group and let M be the centralizer of A in K. Let H and H_0 be the K-fixed vectors within $L^2(G/K)$ and $L^2(G/MN)$, respectively. Choose an element $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ so that

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \exp(-tX) n \exp(tX) = e$$

for every $n \in N$, and define a one-parameter unitary group on H_0 using right translation by $\exp(tX)$ on G/MN (the right translations are not measure-preserving, but they alter the measure by a scalar factor, so they are easily unitarized).

The K-invariant functions on G/K and G/MN identify with functions on A^+ and A respectively, where A^+ is the dominant chamber in A, and a suitable operator W may be defined by restriction of functions.[5]

Finally, define C to be the commutative C^*-subalgebra of the reduced C^*-algebra of G generated by K-bi-invariant functions on G. It is a familiar idea in representation theory that the spaces G/K and G/MN are asymptotic to one another, and this ensures the crucial relation (3.2). Compare the diagram below, in which homogeneous spaces G/K and G/MN for $G = SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ are realized together in the coadjoint representation as coadjoint orbits.

[5] Actually we should restrict to a translation of A^+ by say $\exp(X)$, away from the walls of the chamber A^+, to ensure the operator W is bounded.



The reader is referred to [Ban08] for an interesting and thorough discussion of the relation between Weyl's theorem and harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces. It should also be noted that some of the spectral-theoretic methods from [SV12], which studies harmonic analysis on p-adic spherical varieties, are very closely related to the methods of this paper. See especially Section 8 of [SV12].

Let us return to our general argument. The unitary operators U_t in (3.2) are actually superfluous since $\pi_0(\varphi)$ commutes with them, and we obtain from (A) the following key formula, which we shall use to compare the direct integral decomposition (3.1) for π with a similar decomposition for π_0.

3.2 Lemma. *If* $\varphi \in C$ *and if* $f, g \in H$*, then*

$$\langle g, \pi_0(\varphi)h\rangle_{H_0} = \lim_{T\to+\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \langle W_t g, \pi(\varphi)W_t h\rangle_H \, dt. \qquad \square$$

Next we shall make some assumptions concerning the direct integral decomposition of π_0. To avoid measure-theoretic complications we shall assume that it can be carried out in the following *continuous* fashion:

(B) There is an open subset

$$\Lambda_0 \subseteq \Lambda$$

and there is a continuous field of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces $\{H_{0,\lambda}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_0}$ over Λ_0 (see for example [Dix77, Chapter 10] for the concept of continuous field) that decomposes the representation π_0 in the following sense. There is a dense subspace

$$\mathfrak{H}_0 \subseteq H_0$$

and there are linear maps

$$\varepsilon_{0,\lambda} \colon \mathfrak{H}_0 \longrightarrow H_{0,\lambda},$$

defined for every $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$, that carry the elements of $\mathfrak{H}_0$ to a total family[6] of continuous sections of $\{H_{0,\lambda}\}$. Moreover there is a Borel measure μ_0 on Λ_0 for which

$$\langle h, \pi_0(\varphi) g \rangle_{H_0} = \int_{\Lambda_0} \langle \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h), \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(g) \rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} \varphi(\lambda)\, d\mu_0(\lambda)$$

for every $\varphi \in C$ and all $h, g \in \mathfrak{H}_0$. Part of the assumption here is that the integrand, which is a continuous function on Λ_0, is in fact an integrable function.

We shall also assume compatibility between our continuous field and the one-parameter unitary group action on H_0:

(C) The one-parameter unitary group $\{U_t\}$ on H_0 maps the subspace $\mathfrak{H}_0$ into itself. Moreover the continuous field $\{H_{0,\lambda}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_0}$ carries a continuous, unitary action of $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(U_t h) = U_t \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h)$$

for every $h \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ and every $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ (we shall use the same symbol U_t for the unitary action on the continuous field).

Underlying the continuous field $\{H_{0,\lambda}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_0}$ there is a measurable field of Hilbert spaces (for which a section of $\{H_{0,\lambda}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_0}$ is by definition measurable if its inner product with any continuous section is a measurable function), and the assumption (B) gives a direct integral decomposition

$$H_0 \cong \int_{\Lambda_0} H_{0,\lambda}\, d\mu_0(\lambda) \tag{3.3}$$

of the representation π_0. We can now state our most important assumption, which relates the decompositions (3.1) and (3.3).

(D) There is a measurable family of linear maps

$$A_\lambda \colon H_\lambda \longrightarrow H_{0,\lambda},$$

defined for μ-almost every $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$, with the following property: if $\{v_\lambda\}$ is a bounded, measuable section of $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_0}$, and if $h \in \mathfrak{H}_0$, then the difference

$$\left| \langle A_\lambda v_\lambda, \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(U_t h) \rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} - \langle v_\lambda, (W_t h)_\lambda \rangle_{H_\lambda} \right|$$

is bounded by a locally μ-square-integrable function of λ, times a function of t that converges to zero as $t \to +\infty$.

[6] This means that the values of these sections at any point λ span $H_{0,\lambda}$; see [Dix77, Definition 10.2.1].

(E) If $\{v_\lambda\}$ is a bounded, measurable section of $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_0}$, and if $h \in \mathfrak{H}_0$, then the inner product $\langle v_\lambda, (W_t h)_\lambda\rangle_{H_\lambda}$ is bounded independently of $t > 0$ by a locally μ-square-integrable function of λ.

Finally we shall make one more assumption, more for convenience rather than necessity. It holds in the examples relating Weyl's theorem to harmonic analysis and simplifies just a little the formulas and computations that follow.

(F) $\dim(H_\lambda) \leq 1$ for μ-almost all $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$.

With all these assumptions in place, we can formulate our main result:

3.3 Theorem. *The measure μ_0 is absolutely continuous with respect to μ on the open set Λ_0, with Radon-Nikodym derivative*

$$\frac{d\mu_0}{d\mu}(\lambda) = \frac{\mathrm{Trace}(A_\lambda^* A_\lambda)}{\dim(H_{0,\lambda})}.$$

The idea of the proof is simple. We shall obtain from the formula in Lemma 3.2 and the direct integral decomposition of π in (3.1) a decomposition of π_0 that we can compare with (3.3). The theorem will then be a consequence of the following uniqueness result.

3.4 Lemma. *Let $\{T_\lambda\}$ be a measurable field of positive operators on $\{H_{0,\lambda}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_0}$. Suppose that*

$$\int_{\Lambda_0} \left\langle \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h), T_\lambda \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h)\right\rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} \varphi(\lambda)\, d\mu(\lambda) \\ = \int_{\Lambda_0} \left\langle \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h), \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h)\right\rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} \varphi(\lambda)\, d\mu_0(\lambda)$$

for every $h \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ and every continuous and compactly supported function φ. Then

(i) *μ_0 is absolutely continuous with respect to μ .*

(ii) *T_λ is a scalar multiple of the identity for μ-almost all λ, and in fact*

$$T_\lambda = \frac{d\mu_0}{d\mu}(\lambda) \cdot I_{H_{0,\lambda}}$$

μ-almost everywhere.

Proof. For each point $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_0$ there exists $h \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ such that the section $\nu_{0,\lambda} = \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h)$ is nonzero at λ_0. It follows immediately from the uniqueness part of the Riesz representation theorem that μ_0 is absolutely continuous with respect to μ near λ_0 with Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\frac{d\mu_0}{d\mu}(\lambda) = \frac{\langle \nu_{0,\lambda}, T_\lambda \nu_{0,\lambda} \rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}}}{\langle \nu_{0,\lambda}, \nu_{0,\lambda} \rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}}}.$$

Since the derivative is independent of $\{\nu_{0,\lambda}\}$ this implies that

$$T_\lambda = \frac{d\mu_0}{d\mu}(\lambda) \cdot I_{H_{0,\lambda}},$$

almost everywhere, as required. □

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Let $h \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ and let φ be a continuous and compactly supported function on Λ_0. According to Lemma 3.2,

$$\langle h, \pi_0(\varphi) h \rangle_{H_0} = \lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle W_t h, \pi(\varphi) W_t h \rangle_H dt.$$

Use the spectral decomposition of π to write the integrand above as

$$\langle W_t h, \pi(\varphi) W_t h \rangle_H = \int_{\Lambda_0} \langle (W_t h)_\lambda, (W_t h)_\lambda \rangle_{H_\lambda} \varphi(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda). \tag{3.4}$$

Since the spectral subspaces H_λ are no more than one-dimensional, we can write

$$\langle (W_t h)_\lambda, (W_t h)_\lambda \rangle_{H_\lambda} = \langle (W_t h)_\lambda, \nu_\lambda \rangle_{H_\lambda} \cdot \langle \nu_\lambda, (W_t h)_\lambda \rangle_{H_\lambda},$$

where $\{\nu_\lambda\}$ is a measurable section that is a unit vector for almost all λ for which $H_\lambda \neq 0$, and zero everywhere else. Thanks to (E), the above expression is bounded, uniformly in t, by a locally μ-integrable function.

Consider now the difference

$$\langle \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(U_t h), A_\lambda \nu_\lambda \rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} \cdot \langle A_\lambda \nu_\lambda, \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(U_t h) \rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} - \langle (W_t h)_\lambda, \nu_\lambda \rangle_{H_\lambda} \cdot \langle \nu_\lambda, (W_t h)_\lambda \rangle_{H_\lambda},$$

which we can write as

$$\langle \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(U_t h), A_\lambda \nu_\lambda \rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} \left[\langle A_\lambda \nu_\lambda, \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(U_t h) \rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} - \langle \nu_\lambda, (W_t h)_\lambda \rangle_{H_\lambda} \right] + \left[\langle \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(U_t h), A_\lambda \nu_\lambda \rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} - \langle (W_t h)_\lambda, \nu_\lambda \rangle_{H_\lambda} \right] \langle \nu_\lambda, (W_t h)_\lambda \rangle_{H_\lambda}.$$

According to our assumptions, the above expression is also bounded by a locally μ-integrable function of λ, times a function of t that converges to zero as $t \to +\infty$. Observing that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(U_t h), A_\lambda \nu_\lambda \big\rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} &\big\langle A_\lambda \nu_\lambda, \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(U_t h)\big\rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} \\ &= \big\langle U_t \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h), A_\lambda \nu_\lambda \big\rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} \cdot \big\langle A_\lambda \nu_\lambda, U_t \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h)\big\rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} \\ &= \big\langle \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h), U_{-t} A_\lambda A_\lambda^* U_t \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h)\big\rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}},\end{aligned}$$

we find that he integral (3.4) is asymptotic to

$$\int_{\Lambda_0} \big\langle \nu_{0,\lambda}, U_{-t} A_\lambda A_\lambda^* U_t \nu_{0,\lambda}\big\rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}}\, \varphi(\lambda)\, d\mu(\lambda) \tag{3.5}$$

in the limit as $t \to +\infty$ t, where $\nu_{0,\lambda} = \varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h)$. So by Fubini's theorem we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle h, \pi_0(\varphi) h\rangle_{H_0} &= \lim_{T\to+\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \Big(\int_{\Lambda_0} \big\langle \nu_{0,\lambda}, U_{-t} A_\lambda A_\lambda^* U_t \nu_{0,\lambda}\big\rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}}\, \varphi(\lambda)\, d\mu(\lambda)\Big)\, dt \\ &= \lim_{T\to+\infty} \int_{\Lambda_0} \Big(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \big\langle \nu_{0,\lambda}, U_{-t} A_\lambda A_\lambda^* U_t \nu_{0,\lambda}\big\rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}}\, dt\Big)\varphi(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover the integrand in (3.5) is dominated by an integrable function that is independent of t. Therefore the dominated convergence theorem allows us to interchange the limit and the integral over Λ_0 in the last line, and we obtain

$$\langle h, \pi_0(\varphi) h\rangle_{H_0} = \int_{\Lambda_0} \big\langle \nu_{0,\lambda}, \mathrm{Av}\big[A_\lambda A_\lambda^*\big] \nu_{0,\lambda}\big\rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} \varphi(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda),$$

where

$$\mathrm{Av}\big[A_\lambda A_\lambda^*\big] = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T U_{-t} A_\lambda A_\lambda^* U_t\, dt$$

(since we are dealing here with operators on the finite-dimensional space $H_{0,\lambda}$ the limit certainly exists).

We can now apply Lemma 3.4, which tells us that the operator $\mathrm{Av}[A_\lambda A_\lambda^*]$ is a scalar multiple of the identity for μ-almost-all λ. The computation

$$\mathrm{Trace}\,\big(\mathrm{Av}\big[A_\lambda A_\lambda^*\big]\big) = \mathrm{Trace}(A_\lambda A_\lambda^*) = \mathrm{Trace}(A_\lambda^* A_\lambda),$$

determines the multiple, and the theorem follows. □

The theorem we have just proved gives a formula for the measure μ_0 in terms of the measure μ. But since our goal is to obtain information about the measure μ, we need, finally, to invert this formula:

3.5 Theorem. *If the operators A_λ are nonzero for every $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$, then μ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_0 on Λ_0, and moreover the Radon-Nikodym derivative of μ with respect to μ_0 is*

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mu_0}(\lambda) = \frac{\dim(H_{0,\lambda})}{\mathrm{Trace}(A_\lambda^* A_\lambda)}$$

on Λ_0. □

4 Sturm-Liouville Operators

In this section we shall apply the approach of Section 3 to Sturm-Liouville operators on the half-line. So let D be a linear differential operator of the form (1.1), where the coefficient functions $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are smooth and real-valued on $[0, \infty)$, and where $p(x)$ is everywhere positive. We shall begin by assuming in addition that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} p(x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x\to\infty} q(x) = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

and also that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} p'(x) = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Later on in the section we shall make stronger assumptions about the rates of convergence in the limits above.

We shall take for granted the following result, which from a modern perspective is straightforward:

4.1 Theorem. *The operator D is essentially self-adjoint on the domain of smooth, compactly supported functions $h\colon [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ with $h(0) = 0$.* □

4.2 Remark. We have made a simple and explicit choice of boundary conditions in the theorem, but nothing in what follows depends on the boundary conditions, as long as they determine an essentially self-adjoint operator.

We define now $H = L^2(0, \infty)$ and we define

$$\pi\colon C_0(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow B(H)$$

by $\pi(\varphi) = \varphi(D)$.

Next we define $H_0 = L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ which obviously contains H as a closed subspace; we shall denote by

$$W\colon H_0 \longrightarrow H$$

the orthogonal projection. Define

$$D_0 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2},$$

which we shall treat as an essentially self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space H_0 with domain the smooth, compactly supported functions on the line, and define

$$\pi_0\colon C_0(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow B(H_0)$$

by $\pi_0(\varphi) = \varphi(D_0)$. Define $U_t\colon H_0 \to H_0$ to be the translation operator

$$(U_t h)(x) = h(x-t).$$

Obviously each $\varphi(D_0)$ commutes with each U_t.

4.3 Lemma. *If $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and if $g, h \in L^2(0, \infty)$, then*

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \left[\langle U_t g, \varphi(D) U_t h \rangle_{L^2(0,\infty)} - \langle U_t g, \varphi(D_0) U_t h \rangle_{L^2(-\infty,\infty)} \right] = 0.$$

Proof. Much more is true, namely that

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \left\| \varphi(D)U_t - \varphi(D_0)U_t \right\|_{B(H,H_0)} = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

for every $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. To prove this, observe first that the set of all $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying (4.3) is a norm-closed subalgebra of $C_0(\mathbb{R})$, so it suffices to show that the resolvent functions $\varphi(\lambda) = (\lambda \pm i)^{-1}$ belong to it.

Let ψ be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$ that is identically zero in a neighborhood of $(-\infty, 0]$ and identically one in a neighborhood of $[1, \infty)$, and for $t > 0$ let M_t be the bounded operator of pointwise multiplication by $\lambda \mapsto \psi(t^{-1}\lambda)$ (it is an operator on H_0 whose range lies in H). Then

$$U_t = M_t U_t\colon H \longrightarrow H_0$$

and by standard Sobolev space estimates (the basic elliptic estimate, applied to D_0)

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \|M_t\varphi(D_0) - \varphi(D_0)M_t\| = 0$$

for $\varphi(\lambda) = (\lambda \pm i)^{-1}$, or indeed for every $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. Now

$$\varphi(D)U_t - \varphi(D_0)U_t = \varphi(D)M_tU_t - \varphi(D_0)M_tU_t$$

as operators from H to H_0, and the right-hand side is asymptotic in norm to

$$\varphi(D)M_tU_t - M_t\varphi(D_0)U_t.$$

For the particular case where $\varphi(\lambda) = (\lambda \pm i)^{-1}$, the above may be expressed as

$$\varphi(D)\big[M_tD_0 - DM_t\big]\varphi(D_0)U_t. \tag{4.4}$$

The expression in the middle is, for each $t > 0$, a second order differential operator

$$a_t(x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + b_t(x)\frac{d}{dx} + c_t(x)$$

on the line and as $t \to +\infty$ the coefficient functions converge uniformly to zero. So by the basic estimates (4.4) is a bounded operator whose norm converges uniformly to zero as t tends to infinity. □

The spectral theory of the operator D_0 is of course easily obtained from Fourier theory. Let

$$\Lambda_0 = (0, \infty),$$

and for $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ define $H_{0,\lambda}$ to be the two-dimensional vector space of functions on the line spanned by $e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}x}$ and $e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda}x}$. Equip $H_{0,\lambda}$ with the inner product that makes these two functions an orthonormal bases. The family $\{H_{0,\lambda}\}_{\lambda>0}$ obviously forms a continuous field of Hilbert spaces over Λ_0. Now let $\mathfrak{H}_0$ be space of smooth and compactly supported functions in H. The Fourier transform

$$\widehat{h}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)e^{-i\xi x}\,dx$$

associates to each $h \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ a continuous section $\{\varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h)\}$ of the continuous field, namely

$$\varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h) = \widehat{h}(\sqrt{\lambda})e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}x} + \widehat{h}(-\sqrt{\lambda})e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda}x}.$$

It follows from Plancherel's formula that

$$\|h\|^2_{L^2(-\infty,\infty)} = \int_{\Lambda_0} \|\varepsilon_{0,\lambda}(h)\|^2\,d\mu_0(\lambda),$$

where

$$d\mu_0(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Next, we need to examine the spectral theory of D. We shall use the following standard technique to extract some concrete information from the abstract direct integral decomposition in (3.1) . Suppose that a topological vector space $\mathcal{S}$ is included in H via a continuous map that factors through a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Thus suppose we have a commuting diagram



where K is a Hilbert space. Then for almost all λ (with respect to the measure μ) there are continuous operators

$$\varepsilon_\lambda \colon \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow H_\lambda \tag{4.6}$$

with dense range such that if $h \in \mathcal{S}$, then $h_\lambda = \varepsilon_\lambda(h)$ for almost every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. See [Ber88, Section 1] for a succinct account that is well aligned with the outlook of this paper. Or see the Fundamental Theorem in [Mau67, Chapter VII, Section 1].

In our case we can take $\mathcal{S} = C_c^\infty[0,\infty)$ (compare [Ber88, Section 1, Lemma 2.3]). Since the maps (4.6) have dense range for almost every λ, the adjoint maps

$$\varepsilon_\lambda^* \colon H_\lambda^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^* \tag{4.7}$$

are almost always injective. This leads to a description of H_λ as a space of eigenfunctions, based on the fact that

$$H_\lambda^* \cong \overline{H_\lambda}.$$

In fact, if h is smooth and compactly supported in the open half-line $(0,\infty)$, while $g \in \mathcal{S}$, then

$$\langle Dh, g\rangle = \langle h, Dg\rangle,$$

and as a result

$$D\varepsilon_\lambda^*(\overline{g}) = \lambda\varepsilon_\lambda^*(\overline{g})$$

in the sense of distributions on $(0,\infty)$, for almost all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. So for almost all λ, the map ε_λ^* embeds H_λ^* into the space $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^*$ of λ-eigendistributions for D. By linear ODE theory $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^*$ consists of smooth functions on $[0,\infty)$ and is two-dimensional.

4.4 Lemma. *For almost every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the adjoint maps* (4.7) *embed H_λ^* into the space of smooth function solutions in $\mathcal{S}^*$ of the differential equation $DF_\lambda = \lambda F_\lambda$ that satisfy the boundary condition $F_\lambda(0) = 0$.*

Proof. If $h \in \mathcal{S}$, and if g is in the domain of D (by which we mean, both here and subsequently, the domain of the self-adjoint closure of D), then

$$\begin{aligned}(4.8)\quad \langle \varepsilon_\lambda(Dh), g_\lambda\rangle_{H_\lambda} - \langle \varepsilon_\lambda(h), (Dg)_\lambda\rangle_{H_\lambda} \\ = \int_0^\infty \overline{(Dh)(x)} G_\lambda(x)\, dx - \int_0^\infty \overline{h(x)} (DG_\lambda)(x)\, dx \\ = p(0)\big(\overline{h'(0)} G_\lambda(0) - \overline{h(0)} G_\lambda'(0)\big)\end{aligned}$$

(c.f. (2.6)). Here $G_\lambda = \varepsilon_\lambda^* g_\lambda$. The top expression in (4.8) is an integrable function of λ, and therefore so is the bottom.

If we choose $h \in \mathcal{S}$ so that $h(0) = 0$, then $h \in \mathrm{dom}(D)$. In this case the top expression in (4.8) integrates to zero for μ-almost all λ. So if we choose h so that in addition $h'(0) \neq 0$, then we find that $G_\lambda(0)$ is an integrable function of λ, and it integrates to zero. Replacing $g \in \mathrm{dom}(D)$ with $\varphi(D)g$, where φ is any bounded Borel function, we get

$$\int_{\Lambda_0} G_\lambda(0)\, \varphi(\lambda)\, d\mu(\lambda) = 0,$$

and therefore $G_\lambda(0) = 0$ for almost every λ. It follows that for almost every λ the image of the adjoint map (4.7) is contained in the one-dimensional space of smooth λ-eigenfunctions for D that satisfy the boundary condition $G_\lambda(0) = 0$, as required. □

Of course there is a precisely one-dimensional space of eigenfunctions F_λ for which $F_\lambda(0) = 0$, and so it follows immediately from the lemma that $\dim H_\lambda \leq 1$ for μ-almost every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, as required in assumption (F) from the previous section.

To proceed, we need to analyze the asymptotics of the λ-eigenfunctions of D. Our method is essentially the same as Weyl's [Wey10], and it is in any case standard (moreover it is perhaps worth noting that in the simple case where the coefficients of D are eventually constant, nothing from here up to the formulation of Theorem 4.10 is needed at all).

4.5 Lemma. *Suppose that a smooth function $u\colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a solution of the differential equation*

$$u'(x) = Au(x) + Q(x)u(x)$$

where A *is a constant* $n \times n$ *matrix and* Q *is a smooth* $n \times n$ *matrix-valued function. If*

$$\int_0^\infty \| \exp(-xA) Q(x) \exp(xA) \| \, dx < \infty,$$

then the limit

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} \exp(-xA) u(x)$$

exists. Moreover if the limit is zero, then u *is identically zero.*

4.6 Remark. Since all norms on finite-dimensional spaces are equivalent, we can choose any norm when forming the integral. We shall choose the Hilbert-Schmidt norm

$$\|T\|^2 = \mathrm{Trace}(T^* T).$$

This is a submultiplicative norm, and it has the advantage over, for example, the operator norm, of being a smooth function on the space of nonzero matrices.

Proof of the Lemma. Consider the linear differential equation

$$U'(x) = AU(x) + Q(x)U(x)$$

in which $U(x)$ is a smooth, $n \times n$ matrix-valued function. There exists a unique solution for the initial condition

$$U(0) = I,$$

and it is defined for all x. Moreover each $U(x)$ is invertible: the inverse matrices can be obtained by solving the linear differential equation

$$V'(x) = -V(x)A - U(x)Q(x)$$

with initial condition $V(a) = I$. If we write

$$W(x) = \exp(-xA)U(x),$$

then

$$W'(x) = \exp(-xA) Q(x) \exp(xA) W(x),$$

and so of course

$$\|W'(x)\| \leq \| \exp(-xA) Q(x) \exp(xA) \| \cdot \|W(x)\|. \tag{4.9}$$

This, together with the simple inequality

$$\left|\frac{d}{dx}\|W(x)\|\right| \le \|W'(x)\|,$$

gives us the estimate

$$\left|\frac{d}{dx}\log\|W(x)\|\right| \le \|\exp(-xA)Q(x)\exp(xA)\|. \tag{4.10}$$

The integrability hypothesis of the lemma now implies that

$$\sup_{x\in[0,\infty)} \log\|W(x)\| < \infty,$$

and therefore

$$\sup_{x\in[0,\infty)} \|W(x)\| < \infty. \tag{4.11}$$

Returning to (4.9), it follows from (4.11) that

$$\|W'(x)\| \le \text{constant} \cdot \|\exp(-xA)Q(x)\exp(xA)\|.$$

So by applying the integrability hypothesis a second time we find that $W(x)$ converges to a limit as x tends to infinity.

The limit is an invertible matrix. Indeed we can apply the argument we've just given to the matrix-valued function

$$Y(x) = U(x)^{-1}\exp(xA),$$

in place of $W(x)$. The new function $Y(x)$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$Y'(x) = -Y(x)\exp(-xA)Q(x)\exp(xA),$$

and the argument shows that $Y(x)$ converges to a limit as x tends to infinity. But

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} Y(x)\cdot\lim_{x\to\infty} W(x) = \lim_{x\to\infty} Y(x)W(x) = I.$$

To complete the proof, it follows from the uniqueness of solutions property for ODE's that

$$u(x) = U(x)u(0),$$

so that

$$\exp(-xA)u(x) = \exp(-xA)U(x)u(a) = W(x)u(0).$$

So the limit exists as x tends to infinity. If the limit is zero, then since $\lim_{x\to\infty} W(x)$ is invertible, $u(0)$ must be zero. And if the initial value $u(0)$ is zero, then the solution $u(x)$ is identically zero, as required. □

Let us apply the lemma to the Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue equation. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and if F_λ is any λ-eigenfunction for D, then the vector-valued function

$$u_\lambda(x) = \begin{bmatrix} p(x)F'_\lambda(x) \\ F_\lambda(x) \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.12}$$

is a solution of the differential equation

$$u'(x) = A_\lambda u(x) + Q(x)u(x), \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$A = A_\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q(x) \\ 1 - p(x)^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4.14}$$

We therefore obtain the following result from the lemma:

4.7 Proposition. *Suppose that*

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} |1 - p(x)^{-1}|\, dx < \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_{x_0}^{\infty} |q(x)|\, dx < \infty.$$

If $\lambda > 0$ and if F_λ is any λ-eigenfunction of D, then there is a unique eigenfunction $F_{0,\lambda}$ of D_0 such that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \big|F_\lambda(x) - F_{0,\lambda}(x)\big| = 0.$$

Moreover λ ranges over any compact subset of $(0,\infty)$ the difference

$$\big|F_\lambda(x) - F_{0,\lambda}(x)\big|$$

is bounded by the quantity $|F_\lambda(0)| + |F'_\lambda(0)|$ times a function of x that converges to zero at infinity and is independent of λ and F_λ.

Proof. Note first that if $\lambda > 0$, then the matrix A_λ in (4.14) is skew-adjoint for some inner product on $\mathbb{C}^2$ that depends continuously on λ, and so

$$\sup\{\, \|\exp(xA_\lambda)\| \,:\, x \in \mathbb{R}\,\} < \infty$$

for any norm on matrices.

Now the hypotheses in the proposition imply that for A and Q as in (4.14) the integral in the statement of Lemma 4.5 is finite, and is in fact uniformly bounded as λ varies over a compact set. Take $F_{0,\lambda}$ to be the bottom entry of

$$\exp(xA_\lambda) \cdot \lim_{x\to\infty} \exp(-xA)u_\lambda(x)$$

with $u_\lambda(x)$ as in (4.12).

Finally, the rate of convergence of the matrix-valued function $W(x)$ in the proof of Lemma 4.5 to its limit as $x \to \infty$ is controlled by the value of the integral in the statement of the lemma. So the rate of convergence of the function $\exp(-xA)u(x)$ in the lemma to its limit is controlled by the value of the integral, times $u(0)$. □

We are now ready to verify the remaining assumptions from the previous section, beginning with (E).

4.8 Lemma. *If h is a smooth and compactly supported function on $(0, \infty)$ then the inner product $\langle \nu_\lambda, (U_t h)_\lambda \rangle_{H_\lambda}$ is bounded independently of $t > 0$ by $\|\nu_\lambda\|$ times a locally μ-square-integrable function of $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$.*

Proof. If we write $F_\lambda = \varepsilon_\lambda^*(\nu_\lambda)$, then

$$\langle \nu_\lambda, (U_t h)_\lambda \rangle_{H_\lambda} = \int_0^\infty \overline{F_\lambda(x)} h(x-t)\, dx$$

It follows from Proposition 4.7 that $|F_\lambda(x)|$ is bounded by $F_\lambda'(0)$ times a constant that is a continuous function of λ. So to prove the lemma it suffices to show that *if $\{\nu_\lambda\}$ is bounded then $F_\lambda'(0)$ is a locally square-integrable function of λ.*

For this we shall use a variation on the method that we used to prove Lemma 4.4. Choose $h \in \mathcal{S}$ so that $h'(0) = 0$ and $h(0) \neq 0$, and apply (4.8) in the case where

$$g_\lambda = r(\lambda)\nu_\lambda,$$

where $r(\lambda)$ is a μ-square-integrable function of λ, as is $\lambda r(\lambda)$. We find that $r(\lambda)F_\lambda'(0)$ is an integrable function of λ for every such r, and this implies that $F_\lambda(0)$ is locally μ-square-integrable, as required. □

4.9 Lemma. *There is a measurable family of linear maps*

$$A_\lambda \colon H_\lambda \longrightarrow H_{0,\lambda},$$

defined for $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ and having the property (D) *from the previous section.*

Proof. Let $\nu_\lambda \in H_\lambda$, so that $\overline{\nu_\lambda} \in H_\lambda^*$. The element

$$F_\lambda = \overline{\epsilon_\lambda^*(\overline{\nu_\lambda})} \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^*$$

is a smooth function on $[0, \infty)$, as we saw, and a λ-eigenfunction for D. Denote by

$$F_{0,\lambda} \in H_{0,\lambda}$$

the unique λ-eigenfunction for D_0 that is asymptotic to F_λ, as in Proposition 4.7, and define

$$A_\lambda \nu_\lambda = F_{0,\lambda}.$$

According to the definitions,

$$\big\langle \nu_\lambda, (U_t h)_\lambda \big\rangle_{H_\lambda} - \big\langle A_\lambda \nu_\lambda, (U_t h)_{0,\lambda} \big\rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}} = \int_0^\infty \overline{\big(F_\lambda(x) - F_{0,\lambda}(x)\big)} h(x-t)\, dx$$

This certainly converges to 0 as $t \to +\infty$, and the estimate in Proposition 4.7 together with the argument in the proof of Lemma 4.8 tell us that in fact the integral is bounded by $\|\nu_\lambda\|$ times a locally square-integrable function of λ, times a function of t that vanishes at $+\infty$, as required. □

Let us summarize. Let D be a Sturm-Liouville operator on $[0, \infty)$ with smooth, real-valued coefficient functions p and q that satisfy $p > 0$ as well as

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} q(x) = \lim_{x\to\infty} (1 - p(x)) = \lim_{x\to\infty} p'(x) = 0 \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$\int_1^\infty |1 - p(x)^{-1}|\, dx < \infty \qquad \int_1^\infty |q(x)|\, dx < \infty. \tag{4.16}$$

View D as an essentially self-adjoint operator with domain the smooth, compactly supported functions on $[0, \infty)$ that vanish at 0. Here is our version of Weyl's theorem:

4.10 Theorem. *Assume that the coefficient functions of the Sturm-Liouville operator D satisfy* (4.15) *and* (4.16) *above. Let g and h be smooth and compactly supported functions on $[0, \infty)$. If $\beta > \alpha > 0$, and if $P_{[\alpha,\beta]}$ is the spectral projection for D associated to the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$, then*

$$\langle g, P_{[\alpha,\beta]} h\rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\alpha^\beta \langle g, F_\lambda\rangle \langle F_\lambda, h\rangle \frac{1}{|c(\lambda)|^2}\, \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$$

where F_λ is the unique λ-eigenfunction with $F_\lambda(0) = 0$ and $F_\lambda'(0) = 1$, and $c(\lambda)$ is characterized by

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty} \big(F_\lambda(x) - c(\lambda) e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}x} - \overline{c(\lambda)} e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda}x}\big) = 0.$$

Proof. We shall compute $\|P_{[\alpha,\beta]}h\|^2$ (the formula in the statement of the theorem will follow by polarization). First, according to the definition of a direct integral decomposition,

$$\|P_{[\alpha,\beta]}h\|^2 = \int_\alpha^\beta \|h_\lambda\|^2_{H_\lambda}\, d\mu(\lambda).$$

Now let $\{\nu_\lambda\}$ be the section of $\{H_\lambda\}$ for which $\varepsilon^*_\lambda(\overline{\nu_\lambda}) = \overline{F_\lambda}$, with F_λ as in the statement of the theorem. Then

$$\int_\alpha^\beta \|h_\lambda\|^2_{H_\lambda}\, d\mu(\lambda) = \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{|\langle \nu_\lambda, h_\lambda\rangle_{H_\lambda}|^2}{\langle \nu_\lambda, \nu_\lambda\rangle_{H_\lambda}}\, d\mu(\lambda) = \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{|\langle F_\lambda, h_\lambda\rangle|^2}{\langle \nu_\lambda, \nu_\lambda\rangle_{H_\lambda}}\, d\mu(\lambda),$$

and applying Theorem 3.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\alpha^\beta \|h_\lambda\|^2_{H_\lambda}\, d\mu(\lambda) &= \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{|\langle F_\lambda, h_\lambda\rangle|^2}{\langle \nu_\lambda, \nu_\lambda\rangle_{H_\lambda}} \frac{2d\mu_0(\lambda)}{\mathrm{Trace}(A^*_\lambda A_\lambda)} \\ &= 2\int_\alpha^\beta \frac{|\langle F_\lambda, h_\lambda\rangle|^2}{\langle A_\lambda \nu_\lambda, A_\lambda \nu_\lambda\rangle_{H_{0,\lambda}}}\, d\mu_0(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

It follows from our definition of A_λ that this is

$$\int_\alpha^\beta \frac{|\langle F_\lambda, h_\lambda\rangle|^2|}{|c(\lambda)|^2}\, d\mu_0(\lambda),$$

and the theorem follows from the explicit formula for μ_0 in (4.5). □

5 Non-Positive Spectrum

In this concluding section we shall briefly examine the non-positive part of the spectrum of a Sturm-Liouville operator D of the type considered in the previous section, with coefficient functions satisfying (4.15) and (4.16).

The value λ=0 belongs to the spectrum of D, of course, because the spectrum is closed. But for the purposes of determining the measure μ we need to determine whether or not 0 is an eigenvalue.

The answer is that λ=0 is not an eigenvalue, at least if we assume a bit more about the rate of convergence of the coefficients $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ to their asymptotic values.

5.1 Lemma. *Suppose that*

$$\int_1^\infty x^2|1 - p(x)^{-1}|\, dx < \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_1^\infty x^2|q(x)|\, dx < \infty.$$

Then D *has no non-zero square-integrable* 0*-eigenfunctions.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma 4.5. When $\lambda = 0$ the integral in the statement of Lemma 4.5 is finite for the matrices A and Q in (4.14). So any 0-eigenfunction for D is asymptotic to a 0-eigenfunction for D_0. But the latter are the functions $ax + b$, and we find that no 0-eigenfunction for D can be square-integrable. □

Let us consider now the negative part of the spectrum of D. The argument below is not optimal,[7] but it uses the same ideas we have already developed to handle the continuous spectrum. Moreover it is adequate to handle the operators that arise in harmonic analysis.

5.2 Lemma. *If we assume that*

$$\int_1^\infty e^{\alpha x}|1 - p(x)^{-1}|\,dx < \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_1^\infty e^{\alpha x}|q(x)|\,dx < \infty.$$

for some $\alpha > 0$, then λ=0 is not a limit point of the set of eigenvalues of the self-adjoint Hilbert space operator D.

Proof. For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the matrix A_λ in (4.14) satisfies $A_\lambda^2 = -\lambda I$, and therefore

$$\exp(xA_\lambda) = \cosh(x\sqrt{\lambda})I + \frac{\sinh(x\sqrt{\lambda})}{\sqrt{\lambda}}A_\lambda \tag{5.1}$$

for any square root of λ. It follows from this that if $\varepsilon > \delta > 0$, then

$$|\lambda| \le \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|\exp(xA_\lambda)\| \le \text{constant} \cdot e^{\varepsilon x} \tag{5.2}$$

for all x and some constant independent of λ and x. Now choose $\varepsilon = \alpha/4$. The estimate (5.2) and Lemma 4.5 imply that for $u_\lambda(x)$ as in (4.12) the limit

$$w_\lambda := \lim_{x\to\infty} \exp(-xA_\lambda)u_\lambda(x)$$

exists whenever $|\lambda| \le \delta$, and moreover

$$|\lambda| \le \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \big\|\exp(-xA_\lambda)u_\lambda(x) - w_\lambda\big\| \le \text{constant} \cdot e^{-2\varepsilon x} \tag{5.3}$$

for some constant that is again independent of λ and x. If we write

$$u_\lambda(x) = \exp(xA_\lambda)w_\lambda + \exp(xA_\lambda)\big[\exp(-xA_\lambda)u_\lambda(x) - w_\lambda\big]$$

[7] See Weyl's paper [Wey10] or [DS88, Chapter XII, Section 7] for sharper results.

then we find from (5.2) and (5.3) that

$$u_\lambda \in L^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exp(xA_\lambda)w_\lambda \in L^2,$$

and so f_λ is square-integrable if and only if the second entry of the vector-valued function $\exp(xA_\lambda)w_\lambda$ is a square-integrable function.

Now if $z > 0$ and if $\lambda = -z^2$, and if we write

$$w_\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} a_\lambda \\ b_\lambda \end{bmatrix} = (a_\lambda/2 + b_\lambda/2z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{bmatrix} + (a_\lambda/2 - b_\lambda/2z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -z \end{bmatrix}$$

then, since the vectors on the right are $\pm z$-eigenvectors for A_λ,

$$\exp(xA_\lambda)w_\lambda = (a_\lambda/2 + b_\lambda/2z)\, e^{xz} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{bmatrix} + (a_\lambda/2 - b_\lambda/2z)\, e^{-zx} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -z \end{bmatrix}.$$

The second term on the right is always square-integrable. So we find that the second entry of $\exp(xA_\lambda)w_\lambda$ is a square-integrable function if and only if the first term on the right-hand side is zero, or in other words

$$f_\lambda \in L^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a_\lambda z + b_\lambda = 0$$

(as long as $z > 0$). But now w_λ, and therefore the quantity $a_\lambda z + b_\lambda$, is holomorphic in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. It is not identically zero because for example if z is nonzero and purely imaginary (so that $\lambda = -z^2$ is positive), then a_λ and b_λ are real and at least one is nonzero. So there are at most finitely many L^2-eigenvalues in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. □

We can say more using perturbation theory. The operator D is a semi-bounded and relatively compact perturbation of the positive operator

$$-d/dx \cdot p(x) \cdot d/dx.$$

So the negative part of its spectrum consists of at an most countable set of eigenvalues accumulating only at 0. Compare [Kat76, Chapter IV, Theorem 5.35]. But Lemma 5.2 rules out this latter possibility. Hence:

5.3 Theorem. *If we assume that*

$$\int_1^\infty e^{\alpha x}|1 - p(x)^{-1}|\, dx < \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_1^\infty e^{\alpha x}|q(x)|\, dx < \infty.$$

for some $\alpha > 0$, then the operator D has at most finitely many L^2-eigenfunctions satisfying the boundary condition $f_\lambda(0) = 0$, all associated to negative eigenvalues. □

References

[Ban08] E. van den Ban. Weyl, eigenfunction expansions and harmonic analysis on non-compact symmetric spaces. In *Groups and analysis*, volume 354 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 24–62. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[Ber88] J. N. Bernstein. On the support of Plancherel measure. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 5(4):663–710 (1989), 1988.

[Bor01] A. Borel. *Essays in the history of Lie groups and algebraic groups*, volume 21 of *History of Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; London Mathematical Society, Cambridge, 2001.

[CCH16] P. Clare, T. Crisp, and N. Higson. Parabolic induction and restriction via C^*-algebras and Hilbert C^*-modules. *Compos. Math.*, 152(6):1286–1318, 2016.

[CH16] T. Crisp and N. Higson. A second adjoint theorem for $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. Preprint, 2016. arXiv:1603.08797.

[Dix77] J. Dixmier. *C^*-algebras*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977. Translated from the French by Francis Jellett, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 15.

[Dix81] J. Dixmier. *von Neumann algebras*, volume 27 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1981. With a preface by E. C. Lance, Translated from the second French edition by F. Jellett.

[DS88] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz. *Linear operators. Part II*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988. Spectral theory. Selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space, With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle, Reprint of the 1963 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[EK08] W. N. Everitt and H. Kalf. Weyl's work on singular Sturm-Liouville operators. In *Groups and analysis*, volume 354 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 63–83. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[Kat76] T. Kato. *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, second edition, 1976. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 132.

[Kod49] K. Kodaira. The eigenvalue problem for ordinary differential equations of the second order and Heisenberg's theory of S-matrices. *Amer. J. Math.*, 71:921–945, 1949.

[Mau67] K. Maurin. *Methods of Hilbert spaces*. Translated from the Polish by Andrzej Alexiewicz and Waclaw Zawadowski. Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 45. Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw, 1967.

[SV12] Y. Sakellaridis and A. Venkatesh. Periods and harmonic analysis on spherical varieties. Preprint, 2012. arXiv:1203.0039.

[Tit46] E. C. Titchmarsh. *Eigenfunction Expansions Associated with Second-Order Differential Equations*. Oxford, at the Clarendon Press, 1946.

[Wey10] H. Weyl. Über gewöhnliche Differentialgleichungen mit Singularitäten und die zugehörigen Entwicklungen willkürlicher Funktionen. *Math. Ann.*, 68(2):220–269, 1910.

[Wey50] H. Weyl. Ramifications, old and new, of the eigenvalue problem. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 56:115–139, 1950.

Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802.

Email: higson@math.psu.edu and qut101@psu.edu.